

FY 2018 CoC Ranking Sheet – SSO

Supportive Services Only (Street Outreach)

Reviewer:	Proposal:	
Scoring Elements	Point Values	Score
<p>1. The percentage persons placed into housing (Emergency Shelter, TH or PH) as a result of the street outreach program during the operating year. Max points =50. Total number of persons exiting during the operating year: Total number of persons placed into housing during the operating year: Percentage of persons placed into housing during the operating year: (Source: HUD APR, Question 23 a&b)</p>	<p>Housing Results: 75-100% = 50 points 60-74% = 40 points 46-59% = 30 points 31-45% = 20 points 0-30% = 0 points</p>	
<p>2. The percentage of households who do NOT return to the street or, having exited shelter, return to homelessness (street or shelter) within two years of exiting the program. HUD Standard 95% Max. points =15 Total number of persons who exited to permanent housing destination two years prior: Number of returns in two years: Percentage of returns to homelessness: (Source: System Performance Measure 2, ART Report 701)</p>	<p>Returns to Homelessness: 0-5% = 15 points 6-20% = 10 points 21-30% = 5 points 31-100%=0 points</p>	
<p>3. Percentage of people that were served who were categorized as chronically homeless at intake. Max. points = 10 Total number of client served during operating year: Total number of chronically homeless clients served during operating year: Percentage of people served who were categorized as chronically homeless: (Source: CoC APR Question 26b)</p>	<p>Chronically Homeless: ≥75% = 10 points ≤74% = 0 points</p>	
<p>4. Percentage of people entered with service connection need for whom that connection is recorded. Max. points = 15 Total number of clients served during operating year: Total number of clients with service connection need for whom that connection is recorded: Percentage of clients with service connection need for whom that connection is recorded: (Source: HUD APR, Question 7)</p>	<p>Service Connections: ≥75% = 15 points ≤74% = 0 points</p>	
<p>5. Cost effectiveness: average cost per household served Max. points = 15 Total project spending: Total number of households served: Average cost per household: (Source: CoC project spending report and CoC APR, Question 8a)</p>	<p>Cost Effectiveness (SO): ≤\$500 = 15 points ≥\$500 = 0 points</p>	
<p>6. Average VI-SPDAT 2.0 score of individuals and families served during the operating year. Max. points = 15 Total number of individuals with completed VI-SPDAT 2.0 assessment: Average score of those with completed assessment: (Source: HMIS custom report)</p>	<p>Vulnerability Results: ≥ 7 = 15 points ≤ 6.9 = 0 points</p>	
<p>7. Project summary was clear and funding for objectives is reasonable for number of outcomes. Max. points = 10</p>	<p>Max. points = 10 points.</p>	

8. Project summary included detailed budget and budget narrative. Match is documented, budget narrative included, itemized budget is clear. Max. points = 20	All elements are included will = 20 points.	
9. Monitoring Criteria: 1) Documentation of participant eligibility 2) Data quality meets community standard 3) Drawdown dates from HUD were timely 4) Program identified frequency or amount of funds recaptured by HUD. Max. points = 20	Max. Points = 20	
Maximum points available = 170		
Total Points Received by Proposal:		
Comments:		
Reviewer Signature:	Date:	