FY 2018 CoC Ranking Sheet – PSH Permanent Supportive Housing (Including Legacy + Shelter Plus Care)

Reviewer:	Proposal:	
Scoring Elements	Point Values	Score
 The percentage of persons who remained in permaprogram as of the end of the operating year or exit housing (subsidized or unsubsidized). HUD stand Max points =30. Total number of individuals served: Number of individuals who accomplished this meas Percentage achieving measure: 	anent housing ted to permanent ard is 80%. Housing Results: 90-100% = 30 points 80-89% =20 points 70-79% = 10 points 0-69% = 0 points	
 (Source: System Performance Measure 7, ART Report 706 2. The percentage of persons age 18 and older who in total income (from all sources) at program exit. Max. points =20 Total number of adults leavers: Number of adults who accomplished this measure: Percentage achieving measure: (Source: HUD APR, Question 19a2) 		
 3. Percentage of adults receiving benefits at program Standard = 56%. Max. points = 10 Total number of adults exiting: Total number of adults exiting with benefits: Percentage of adults exiting with benefits: (Source: HUD APR, Question 20b) 	n exit. HUD Mainstream Benefits: 80-100% = 10 points 50-79% = 5 points 0-49% = 0 points	
 4. Percentage of households who do NOT return to get a new homeless certification) within two ye program. HUD Standard 95%. Max. points = 20 Total number of persons who exited to perform destination (2 years prior): Number of returns in 2 years: Percentage of returns in 2 years: (Source: Report 701 – Exits to Permanent Housi Homelessness) 	ermanent housing 49-100% = 0 points	
 5. Cost effectiveness: average cost per household ser Max. points = 15 Total project spending: Total number of households served: Average cost per household: (Source: CoC project spending report and CoC APR, Quest 	Cost Effectiveness (PSH): <u><</u> \$8,000 = 15 points ≥\$8,000 = 0 points	
 Average VI-SPDAT 2.0 score of individuals and fan during the operating year. Max. points = 15 Total number of individuals with completed VI-SPD Average score of those with completed assessment: (Source: HMIS custom report) 	nilies servedVulnerability Results: $\geq 7 = 15$ points $\leq 6.9 = 0$ points	
 7. Percentage of people that were served who were c chronically homeless at intake. Max. points = 5 Total number of individuals served: Total number of individuals who were categorized a homeless: Percentage of individuals categorized as chronically (Source: CoC APR Question 26b) 	as chronically Homeless: ≤75% = 5 points ≤74% = 0 points	

 8. Percentage of households served who were categorized as households with children. Max. points = 5 Total number of households served: Number of households with children: Percentage of households with children: (Source: CoC APR Question 8a) 	Homeless Families: ≥75% = 5 points ≤74% = 0 points		
 9. Project summary was clear and funding for objectives is reaso for number of outcomes. Max. points = 10 	Max. points = 10 points.		
 Project summary included detailed budget and budget narration Match is documented, budget narrative included, itemized budget clear. Max. points = 20 			
11. Monitoring Criteria: 1) Documentation of participant eligibility Data quality meets community standard 3) Drawdown dates for HUD were timely 4) Program identified frequency or amount of funds recaptured by HUD 5) Participation in Coordinated Entry Max. points = 20	of Max. Points = 20		
Maximum points available = 170			
Total Points Received by Proposal:			
Comments:			
Reviewer Signature:	Date:		